



# A Note on the Nonuniqueness for Some Quasilinear Eigenvalue Problem

P. DRÁBEK

Department of Mathematics  
University of West Bohemia  
P.O. Box 314, 306 14 Pilsen, Czech Republic  
pdrabek@kma.zcu.cz

(Received and accepted November 1999)

**Abstract**—Let  $1 < p < N$  and  $\Omega_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 < a < |x| < b < \infty\}$ . We prove that there exists  $q$ ,  $p < q < p^* = Np/(N-p)$  such that the extremal for the optimal constant  $C := C(p, q, \Omega_r)$  of the embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_r) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega_r)$  is not a radial function (and hence, not unique). As a consequence of this fact, we obtain a nonuniqueness result for

$$-\Delta_p u = |u|^{q-2}u, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega_r, u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_r, \|\nabla u\|_p = C^{q/(p-q)}. \quad (*)$$

© 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords**—The  $p$ -Laplacian, Nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem, The best Sobolev constant, Nonuniqueness.

## 1. STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain,  $1 < p < N$  and  $1 < q < p^* = Np/(N-p)$ . Then the following embedding:

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad (1)$$

holds and the optimal value of the constant  $C := C(p, q, \Omega)$  in the inequality

$$\|u\|_q \leq C \|\nabla u\|_p \quad (2)$$

can be characterized by

$$C := \sup\{\|u\|_q : \|\nabla u\|_p = 1\}. \quad (3)$$

Due to the compactness of (1), the sup in (3) is achieved at a certain nonnegative function  $u_{pq}(\Omega) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and equality in (2) then holds for any  $u_\tau = \tau u_{pq}(\Omega)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Lagrange

---

The author would like to thank R. Mañásevich and M. García Huidobro for stimulating discussions during which the mistake in the proof of the previous author's result [1, p. 48] (see also [2, p. 18]) was discovered. This paper actually provides a counterexample to [1] for  $q$  close to  $p^*$  and the author would like to apologize for it.

Research was supported by Grant # 201/97/0395 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by Grant # VS 97156 of Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

multiplier method yields that  $u_\tau$  is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta_p u = \tau^{q-p} C^{-q} |u|^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (4)$$

The Moser-type iterations [3] together with the regularity results [4,5] and the Harnack-type inequality [6] imply that  $u_\tau \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $u_\tau > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

For  $1 < q < p$ , it follows from [7] that  $u_{pq}(\Omega)$  is unique and the same result follows from [8] if  $q = p$ . In this note, we want to show that, in general, this is not case if  $p < q < p^*$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 < a < |x| < b < \infty\}$ . There exists  $q, p < q < q^*$  such that the function  $u_{pq}(\Omega_r)$  is not radially symmetric.*

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $q$  be as above. Then the problem (\*) does not have unique solution.*

## 2. THE PROOFS

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain,  $p < q < p^*$ . Consider the functional

$$J_q(v) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q$$

associated to the problem

$$-\Delta_p v = |v|^{q-2} v \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (5)$$

It follows from (4) that  $u(q) := C^{q/(p-q)} u_{pq}(\Omega)$  is a solution of (5), and hence, also a critical point of  $J_q$ . Moreover, we have

$$J_q(u(q)) = \min \left\{ J_q(v) : \|\nabla v\|_p = C^{q/(p-q)} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, the real function

$$t \mapsto J_q(tu(q)) = \left( \frac{t^p}{p} - \frac{t^q}{q} \right) C^{pq/(p-q)} \quad (6)$$

is increasing in  $(0, 1)$  and decreasing in  $(1, \infty)$ . Let  $\tau_0 > 1$  be so large that  $J(v_0) < 0$ ,  $v_0 = \tau_0 u(q)$ . Set

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ g \in C([0, 1]; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = v_0 \right\}$$

and define

$$C_q = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_q(g(t)).$$

**LEMMA 1.** *The value  $C_q$  is achieved in  $u(q)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{C}$  be arbitrary. Then  $g$  must intersect  $\{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|\nabla u\|_p = C^{q/(p-q)}\}$ , i.e.,  $C_q \geq J_q(u(q))$ . On the other hand, define  $g_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  as  $g_0(t) = tv_0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The properties of (6) imply

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_q(g_0(t)) = J_q\left(g_0\left(\frac{1}{\tau_0}\right)\right) = J_q(u(q)), \text{ i.e., } C_q \leq J_q(u(q)). \quad \blacksquare$$

Now it follows from Proposition 1, Lemma 5, and Theorem 6 in [9] that there exists a sequence  $q_k \nearrow p^*$  such that

$$(u(q_k))^{p^*} \rightharpoonup^* d\nu = S^{N/p} \delta_{x_0}, \quad x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (7)$$

where  $S$  is the best Sobolev constant for the critical embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$  and  $\delta_{x_0}$  is a Dirac impulse concentrated at  $x_0$ . It is also proved that  $x_0 \in \text{int } \Omega$  if  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth (see [9,

p. 2120]). Convergence (7) means that for any  $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$  (the space of continuous functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ ), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(q_k) \varphi \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\nu = S^{N/p} \varphi(x_0). \quad (8)$$

Assume now that  $\Omega = \Omega_r$  and all  $u(q_k)$  are radial. Choose the positive functions  $\varphi_i \in C_0(\Omega_r)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  as follows:  $\varphi_1(x_0) = 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset \{x \in \Omega_r : |x - x_0| < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega_r)/2\}$ ,  $\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_1(-x)$  for  $x \in \Omega_r$ . Then the radial symmetry of  $u(q_k)$  implies

$$\int_{\Omega_r} u(q_k) \varphi_1 = \int_{\Omega_r} u(q_k) \varphi_2,$$

for any  $k$ . On the other hand, since  $\varphi_2(x_0) = 0$ , we have for  $k$  large enough,

$$\int_{\Omega_r} u(q_k) \varphi_1 > \frac{2}{3} S^{N/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega_r} u(q_k) \varphi_2 < \frac{1}{3} S^{N/p},$$

which is absurd. Hence, there is  $q_k$  such that  $u(q_k)$  is not radial which completes the proof of the theorem. The proof of the corollary follows from the fact that the equality in (2) does not depend on radial transformations when  $\Omega = \Omega_r$ .

## REFERENCES

1. P. Drábek, The uniqueness for a superlinear eigenvalue problem. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **12** (4), 47–50, (1999).
2. P. Drábek, P. Krejčí and P. Takáč, Nonlinear differential equations. In *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 404, Boca Raton, FL, (1999).
3. P. Drábek, A. Kufner and F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities. In *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, (Edited by W. de Gruyter), Vol. 5, Berlin, (1997).
4. G. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Analysis Theory, Methods & Applications* **12**, 1203–1219, (1988).
5. P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations* **51**, 126–150, (1984).
6. N.S. Trudinger, On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20**, 721–747, (1967).
7. Y.X. Huang, A note on the asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions for some elliptic equation, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Application* **29**, 533–537, (1997).
8. A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p-Laplacien avec poids. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I. Math.* **305**, 725–728, (1987).
9. J. García and I. Peral, On limits of solutions of elliptic problems with nearly critical exponent. *Communications in PDE* **17** **11** (12), 2113–2126, (1992).